

# **HAWKINSON**

## **EXHIBIT G**

Network Working Group  
 Request for Comments: 2002  
 Category: Standards Track

C. Perkins, Editor  
 IBM  
 October 1996

## IP Mobility Support

### Status of this Memo

This document specifies an Internet standards track protocol for the Internet community, and requests discussion and suggestions for improvements. Please refer to the current edition of the "Internet Official Protocol Standards" (STD 1) for the standardization state and status of this protocol. Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

### Abstract

This document specifies protocol enhancements that allow transparent routing of IP datagrams to mobile nodes in the Internet. Each mobile node is always identified by its home address, regardless of its current point of attachment to the Internet. While situated away from its home, a mobile node is also associated with a care-of address, which provides information about its current point of attachment to the Internet. The protocol provides for registering the care-of address with a home agent. The home agent sends datagrams destined for the mobile node through a tunnel to the care-of address. After arriving at the end of the tunnel, each datagram is then delivered to the mobile node.

### Table of Contents

1. Introduction	3
1.1. Protocol Requirements . . . . .	3
1.2. Goals . . . . .	4
1.3. Assumptions . . . . .	4
1.4. Applicability . . . . .	4
1.5. New Architectural Entities . . . . .	5
1.6. Terminology . . . . .	6
1.7. Protocol Overview . . . . .	8
1.8. Specification Language . . . . .	11
1.9. Message Format and Protocol Extensibility . . . . .	12
2. Agent Discovery	14
2.1. Agent Advertisement . . . . .	14
2.1.1. Mobility Agent Advertisement Extension . . . . .	16
2.1.2. Prefix-Lengths Extension . . . . .	18
2.1.3. One-byte Padding Extension . . . . .	19
2.2. Agent Solicitation . . . . .	19
2.3. Foreign Agent and Home Agent Considerations . . . . .	19
2.3.1. Advertised Router Addresses . . . . .	20

2.3.2. Sequence Numbers and Rollover Handling . . . . .	21
2.4. Mobile Node Considerations . . . . .	21
2.4.1. Registration Required . . . . .	22
2.4.2. Move Detection . . . . .	22
2.4.3. Returning Home . . . . .	24
2.4.4. Sequence Numbers and Rollover Handling . . . . .	24
3. Registration . . . . .	24
3.1. Registration Overview . . . . .	25
3.2. Authentication . . . . .	26
3.3. Registration Request . . . . .	26
3.4. Registration Reply . . . . .	29
3.5. Registration Extensions . . . . .	32
3.5.1. Computing Authentication Extension Values . . . . .	32
3.5.2. Mobile-Home Authentication Extension . . . . .	33
3.5.3. Mobile-Foreign Authentication Extension . . . . .	33
3.5.4. Foreign-Home Authentication Extension . . . . .	34
3.6. Mobile Node Considerations . . . . .	34
3.6.1. Sending Registration Requests . . . . .	36
3.6.2. Receiving Registration Replies . . . . .	40
3.6.3. Registration Retransmission . . . . .	42
3.7. Foreign Agent Considerations . . . . .	43
3.7.1. Configuration and Registration Tables . . . . .	44
3.7.2. Receiving Registration Requests . . . . .	44
3.7.3. Receiving Registration Replies . . . . .	47
3.8. Home Agent Considerations . . . . .	49
3.8.1. Configuration and Registration Tables . . . . .	49
3.8.2. Receiving Registration Requests . . . . .	49
3.8.3. Sending Registration Replies . . . . .	53
4. Routing Considerations . . . . .	55
4.1. Encapsulation Types . . . . .	56
4.2. Unicast Datagram Routing . . . . .	56
4.2.1. Mobile Node Considerations . . . . .	56
4.2.2. Foreign Agent Considerations . . . . .	57
4.2.3. Home Agent Considerations . . . . .	58
4.3. Broadcast Datagrams . . . . .	59
4.4. Multicast Datagram Routing . . . . .	60
4.5. Mobile Routers . . . . .	61
4.6. ARP, Proxy ARP, and Gratuitous ARP . . . . .	62
5. Security Considerations . . . . .	66
5.1. Message Authentication Codes . . . . .	66
5.2. Areas of Security Concern in this Protocol . . . . .	66
5.3. Key Management . . . . .	67
5.4. Picking Good Random Numbers . . . . .	67
5.5. Privacy . . . . .	67
5.6. Replay Protection for Registration Requests . . . . .	68
5.6.1. Replay Protection using Timestamps . . . . .	68
5.6.2. Replay Protection using Nonces . . . . .	69
6. Acknowledgments . . . . .	71

A. Patent Issues	72
A.1. IBM Patent #5,159,592 . . . . .	72
A.2. IBM Patent #5,148,479 . . . . .	72
B. Link-Layer Considerations	73
C. TCP Considerations	73
C.1. TCP Timers . . . . .	73
C.2. TCP Congestion Management . . . . .	73
D. Example Scenarios	74
D.1. Registering with a Foreign Agent Care-of Address . . . . .	74
D.2. Registering with a Co-Located Care-of Address . . . . .	75
D.3. Deregistration . . . . .	76
E. Applicability of Prefix Lengths Extension	76
Editor's Address	79

## 1. Introduction

IP version 4 assumes that a node's IP address uniquely identifies the node's point of attachment to the Internet. Therefore, a node must be located on the network indicated by its IP address in order to receive datagrams destined to it; otherwise, datagrams destined to the node would be undeliverable. For a node to change its point of attachment without losing its ability to communicate, currently one of the two following mechanisms must typically be employed:

- a) the node must change its IP address whenever it changes its point of attachment, or
- b) host-specific routes must be propagated throughout much of the Internet routing fabric.

Both of these alternatives are often unacceptable. The first makes it impossible for a node to maintain transport and higher-layer connections when the node changes location. The second has obvious and severe scaling problems, especially relevant considering the explosive growth in sales of notebook (mobile) computers.

A new, scalable, mechanism is required for accommodating node mobility within the Internet. This document defines such a mechanism, which enables nodes to change their point of attachment to the Internet without changing their IP address.

### 1.1. Protocol Requirements

A mobile node must be able to communicate with other nodes after changing its link-layer point of attachment to the Internet, yet without changing its IP address.

It does, however, place additional burden on the IPv4 address space because it requires a pool of addresses within the foreign network to be made available to visiting mobile nodes. It is difficult to efficiently maintain pools of addresses for each subnet that may permit mobile nodes to visit.

It is important to understand the distinction between the care-of address and the foreign agent functions. The care-of address is simply the endpoint of the tunnel. It might indeed be an address of a foreign agent (a foreign agent care-of address), but it might instead be an address temporarily acquired by the mobile node (a co-located care-of address). A foreign agent, on the other hand, is a mobility agent that provides services to mobile nodes. See Sections 3.7 and 4.2.2 for additional details.

A home agent MUST be able to attract and intercept datagrams that are destined to the home address of any of its registered mobile nodes. Using the proxy and gratuitous ARP mechanisms described in [Section 4.6](#), this requirement can be satisfied if the home agent has a network interface on the link indicated by the mobile node's home address. Other placements of the home agent relative to the mobile node's home location MAY also be possible using other mechanisms for intercepting datagrams destined to the mobile node's home address. Such placements are beyond the scope of this document.

Similarly, a mobile node and a prospective or current foreign agent MUST be able to exchange datagrams without relying on standard IP routing mechanisms; that is, those mechanisms which make forwarding decisions based upon the network-prefix of the destination address in the IP header. This requirement can be satisfied if the foreign agent and the visiting mobile node have an interface on the same link. In this case, the mobile node and foreign agent simply bypass their normal IP routing mechanism when sending datagrams to each other, addressing the underlying link-layer packets to their respective link-layer addresses. Other placements of the foreign agent relative to the mobile node MAY also be possible using other mechanisms to exchange datagrams between these nodes, but such placements are beyond the scope of this document.

If a mobile node is using a co-located care-of address (as described in (b) above), the mobile node MUST be located on the link identified by the network prefix of this care-of address. Otherwise, datagrams destined to the care-of address would be undeliverable.

For example, the figure below illustrates the routing of datagrams to and from a mobile node away from home, once the mobile node has registered with its home agent. In the figure below, the mobile node is using a foreign agent care-of address: